```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
 2
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                      ) Greensboro, North Carolina
 3
                                      ) October 15, 2014
                                        2:23 p.m.
       vs.
 4
   BRIAN DAVID HILL,
 5
                                        Case No. 1:13CR435-1
       Defendant.
 6
 7
                    TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
 8
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR.
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
 9
   APPEARANCES:
10
   For the Government:
                         ANAND P. RAMASWAMY, AUSA
                         Office of the U.S. Attorney
11
                         101 S. Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor
12
                         Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
13
   For the Defendant:
                         JOHN SCOTT COALTER, ESQUIRE
                         McKinney Perry & Coalter, PA
14
                         PO Box 1800
                         Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
   Court Reporter:
                       Joseph B. Armstrong, RMR, FCRR
22
                       324 W. Market, Room 101
                       Greensboro, NC 27401
23
              Proceedings reported by stenotype reporter.
24
         Transcript produced by Computer-Aided Transcription.
25
```

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

```
1
                        PROCEEDINGS
 2
              (At 2:23 p.m., proceedings commenced.)
 3
              (Defendant present.)
 4
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Mr. Ramaswamy, you may call
 5
   the next case.
 6
             MR. RAMASWAMY:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                      The
   Government would call for status conference United States
 7
   versus Brian David Hill in 1:13CR435-1 represented by
 8
 9
   Mr. Coalter, and Ms. Tapp is here from the Probation Office.
10
             THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Coalter.
                           Good afternoon, Your Honor.
11
             MR. COALTER:
12
             THE COURT:
                         I suspect -- you may have a seat,
   Mr. Hill. I suspect at this point that you are probably fully
13
  up to speed, but I'll give you at least a thumbnail sketch from
  my perspective, and then we can see where we are and what needs
16
  to happen next.
17
             But Mr. Hill entered a plea of quilty in this matter
   some time ago, and it was either comments at the time of the
  plea hearing, the plea agreement or -- the plea agreement or
19
   some later time, but somewhere along the line I learned or was
20
  under the impression that because of a variety of issues that
21
   this was a case -- well, the plea agreement says the Government
22
   wouldn't oppose a couple of departure grounds, but I had the
23
   impression that the Government ultimately would likely either
24
25
  not be objecting to a sentence other than an active sentence or
```

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

was not seeking an active sentence in this particular case.

The presentence report was disclosed, and you know what the guideline range was in the report. After disclosure of the presentence report, Mr. Hill then went on a letter writing campaign proclaiming his -- first, his desire to withdraw his guilty plea, and then proclaiming his innocence, and then proclaiming a lot of different things.

I initially had a hearing on it -- hearing on the question of whether Mr. Placke should be permitted to withdraw and denied the motion and, quite candidly, even in light of some of the allegations that Mr. Hill had made, did not believe that in light of Mr. Hill's conditions that Mr. Hill's statements and proclamations should result in his losing acceptance of responsibility in this case. We then proceeded ahead. The letter writing continued, and the complaints about Mr. Placke continued.

Now, at some point in there -- I am going to add this as well. One of Mr. Hill's motions speaking to withdraw his plea claimed that he was unfairly pressured by both Mr. Placke and his family members to enter a plea.

The last hearing, some time before the hearing, a lawyer, I believed licensed in California and Illinois, took it upon herself initially to send Mr. Placke an affidavit proclaiming Mr. Hill's innocence and offering something in the nature of an explanation as to how a number of other

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

individuals had been set up with child pornography, and apparently when nothing occurred in response to sending that affidavit, she then took it upon herself to send it to me with the request that I consider that affidavit, and Mr. Hill's letter writing continued. And at that last hearing, Mr. Hill's grandparents when I inquired of them also proclaimed Mr. Hill's innocence.

To be completely candid, Mr. Coalter, it appeared to me that this -- that there was some issues that existed in this case following disclosure of the presentence report; but after talking to the grandparents, it appeared to me there was now some complications in this case arising from information that this lawyer had been apparently been providing to and discussing not only with Mr. Hill, this Court, and Mr. Placke, but to the grandparents as well. You might imagine that I am a little disturbed by an attorney sending an affidavit to a Court proclaiming the innocence of an individual.

Having said all that, I let Mr. Placke out of the case, set this case on for status conference, continued the sentencing hearing at which time -- and also gave notice of my consideration of withdrawing acceptance of responsibility from Mr. Hill and having a hearing on that. Any such hearing on that, in my opinion, would necessarily involve testimony from this attorney, wherever she may be --

MR. COALTER: Right.

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

THE COURT: -- who has seen -- who has taken it upon herself to argue Mr. Hill's innocence in this Court.

Now, you are counsel for Mr. Hill. I don't know where you wanted to proceed. But before I go to the steps of summonsing a lawyer from somewhere in the Midwest or the West to a hearing, I want to make sure where things stand with you. In other words, are you going to be ready to go and want to proceed forward with a sentencing on a particular date, or do you need additional time, or where do things stand with you?

MR. COALTER: I believe I can have a position paper -- well, first of all, Your Honor, I've reviewed the file on Pacer and reviewed most of the documents, went down and met with Mr. Hill in custody, discussed his options with him, received discovery from Mr. Ramaswamy, reviewed the evidence with Mr. Hill to determine, number one, were there grounds to withdraw the guilty plea, number two, is that something that would be in his best interest, and determined, along with Mr. Hill, that the answer to both of those questions was that there are no grounds, and he doesn't want to withdraw his guilty plea. I advised him that I'm his attorney and that any filings with the Court should come through me and that I would not be adopting any of his prior pro se filings.

I believe that -- I don't see any reason why I can't be prepared by November 7 for sentencing in this matter. I've discussed the matter with Mr. Ramaswamy and am prepared to

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

```
proceed. I've also met with both his grandparents and his
  mother, gone over the discovery with them, explained the
   situation, explained where Mr. Hill stands, and I think we're
 3
   prepared to proceed to sentencing.
             I did have some conversation with the out-of-state
 5
 6
  attorney and, to put it bluntly, don't put a lot of stock in
 7
   what she has to say.
 8
             THE COURT:
                         All right. How much time do you need to
 9
   file sentencing pleadings?
             MR. COALTER: I think I can get something together by
10
   the end of next week.
11
12
             THE COURT: Where does that put us? Let's see.
  Today is the 15th.
13
                            I think by the 24th.
14
             MR. COALTER:
             THE COURT:
                         The 24th? Mr. Ramaswamy, I'm guessing
15
  there won't be much of an objection, if anything, to the
16
  filings from Mr. Coalter.
17
18
             MR. RAMASWAMY: That's correct, Your Honor.
                         I'll give you, let's say -- 24th, 31st.
19
             THE COURT:
   Mr. Coalter I'll just go ahead and give you until Monday
20
   October 27, 2014, to file any pleadings that you wish to file,
21
   and I'll give the Government until Tuesday, November 4, to file
22
   any responses they desire to file, if any are necessary.
23
   Sentencing is set for Friday.
24
25
             All right.
                         Then thank you, Mr. Coalter, for that
```

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

update. That now leaves me to discuss with both you and Mr. Ramaswamy what I'm going to do about this lawyer that's sending these -- this affidavit in. Mr. Ramaswamy, let me hear from you first. Anything -- do you have any further thoughts on it? I think my direction was that the Government was to subpoena, but that may or may not -- one of the reasons I set this on for a status conference was to see where we could go with that or if I needed to do something else. So where do we stand on that?

MR. RAMASWAMY: I believe, Your Honor, Mr. Barrett and Ms. Hairston have -- may have stated to the Court previously by policy we do not subpoena attorneys. The attorney herself, if I might state, called me after the hearing last, and that was because the grandparents had contacted her. It would be the Government's position that having her present for the sentencing would not be necessary. I can elaborate if the Court wishes, but --

THE COURT: I sure do.

MR. RAMASWAMY: In what is ECF Document No. 45, that is, the affidavits filed by the defendant's grandparents and starting on page 7, they go into the offense conduct paragraph by paragraph in the presentence report. During the last hearing, I know the Court inquired of them if they knew of the evidence against the defendant and if they had seen the evidence, and they said they hadn't, didn't wish to, but it

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

```
would appear as they go point by point that they do, in fact,
  have a copy of the presentence report based on -- but it does
  not appear to the Government that their efforts to reach out to
 3
   Ms. Basko have given her anything of the offense conduct, that
   is, their side of maintaining his innocence being set up by
 5
   someone else.
 6
             As to the defendant's sentencing, I don't know that
 7
 8
  it's helpful in any regard to have Ms. Basko here, having
 9
   spoken with her once, having received a previous email from
   her, and based on some of the other things not only in her
   unsworn affidavit but her two websites.
11
12
             THE COURT:
                         Didn't she sign the affidavit under
   penalty of perjury?
13
             MR. RAMASWAMY: Well, it is signed that way, but it
14
   is not -- there is no attestation. It's not -- in contrast to
15
  the grandparents' affidavit, it's not in front of a notary,
16
   Your Honor.
17
18
             THE COURT:
                         Is that the only way to submit a sworn
19
   statement to a court --
                             Well, I think --
20
             MR. RAMASWAMY:
                        -- signed by a notary?
21
             THE COURT:
                              In terms of form itself, it's not the
22
             MR. RAMASWAMY:
   only irregularity, I think, in terms of the way its captioned.
23
   Both this affidavit from Ms. Basko and the ones from both
25
   grandparents appear to be items they wish to have appeared in
```

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

the presentence report. They're attempting to rebut facts that are in the presentence report and have it appear before the 2 Court that way. I don't know -- I can't answer as to the form 3 in which it appears, how it's captioned, how it's addressed, concluding the caption with any --5 6 THE COURT: If you, as an attorney, stood up in front 7 of me and say "Your Honor, I say, under penalty of perjury, that Ms. Hairston is sitting right behind me, " is that a sworn 9 statement to me or not? I think it's a different matter for 10 MR. RAMASWAMY: 11 an attorney appearing before you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And how so? That's what I'm trying to get at. 13 I mentioned this before at the last 14 MR. RAMASWAMY: hearing. There are a number of people associated with 15 Mr. Hill, and this is not the only letter, the ones that come 16 into court --17 18 THE COURT: It's the only one I got. I understand, Your Honor, but they --19 MR. RAMASWAMY: Let me explain my problem and my concern. 20 THE COURT: 21 I don't know where Mr. Hill came up with this idea that claiming his innocence in these grandiose scheme set out in 22 here came from, but in this case the grandparents were 23 communicating with this attorney. Mr. Hill appeared to be 24 25 communicating in some fashion with this attorney. She did not

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

say, "I don't think he didn't do it." She didn't qualify it. She sent a letter to me -- when it was not acted upon by the counsel of record, she sent it to me stating under penalty of perjury that he was innocent of these charges -- of this charge.

So I've got to decide, number one, what sentence to impose and, number two, whether he gets acceptance of responsibility or not, which is a big factor in the whole analysis. And as I said last time, if he did this on his own, then that says one thing. Perhaps it doesn't change anything in light of his condition. But if he was somehow urged to do this with the support of an out-of-state attorney, it doesn't seem to me to make any difference whether she's standing here in front of me and says that or takes it upon herself to send that to me in this courtroom.

So you're right. There is a piece of this that may or may -- may not be helpful in terms of evaluating acceptance of responsibility, but an attorney who sends an af -- a claimed affidavit signed -- or sends a document to a judge signed under penalty of perjury the defendant's innocent, at a minimum -- I mean, it's hard for me to see how she hasn't somehow tried to subject herself to the jurisdiction of this court.

MR. RAMASWAMY: Just a couple of points. I know the Court in previous hearings, one at a status conference and at the last hearing, has dealt with the defendant's pro se

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

motions, and part of that is in relation to his condition.

There's been some attribution of those motions, I think rightly so, in relation to his condition.

I don't know at what point -- according to

Ms. Basko's affidavit, she received materials from him prior to

his federal arrest. So I don't know at what point, such as

today when he is not seeking to go forward with any of those

prior motions, that she was contacted, and she was given

information or if she's aware, as is the case today, and

doesn't wish to proceed upon those things.

And as to sentencing, it would appear to the Government that it's a collateral matter. I understand the Court's concern, especially as it affected Mr. Placke's ability to deal with Mr. Hill in this case. But it would appear based on the affidavit to the grandparents that this person was not given a full picture of this case. I'm not speaking in defense of her, but I don't know that -- it wouldn't be helpful to the Government to have her here as a witness.

THE COURT: So the Government is not going to subpoena her?

MR. RAMASWAMY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Coalter?

MR. COALTER: Your Honor, at the risk of butting in when I shouldn't, I also had a conversation with her. Would just indicate when I started questioning her about any

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

```
experience in criminal law, criminal defense, she had none,
 2
  Your Honor. She's apparently an entertainment lawyer --
 3
             THE COURT:
                         I mean, what she wrote borders on
   entertainment.
                   Pardon my frivolity with respect to this, but
   that's about what it amounts to. But sending it to a judge --
   if the Government's not going to subpoena her because they
 6
   don't think it helps their case, then --
 7
 8
             MR. COALTER:
                           I understand. I just thought that I
 9
   would add that, Your Honor. I certainly, after speaking with
  her for a brief period of time, came to the conclusion that I
   would not put any stock in anything she had to say, especially
11
12
   after --
13
             THE COURT:
                         That's my -- that's my point, in part.
  She may be crazy. I don't know what she is. But writing it
14
   down and sending it to a judge in the midst of a sentencing
15
  proceeding and urging on the defendant and his family?
16
17
             MR. COALTER:
                           I understand.
18
             THE COURT: Not wanting to make an appearance?
                                                              Ι
  mean, I'll consider whether I -- I mean, I'm sorry for her
19
   sake, but I'm not finished with this. If the Government is not
20
   interested in subpoenaing her, then I'll consider whether I can
21
   issue an order directing her appearance here on the 7th.
22
             Anything further, Mr. Coalter?
23
             MR. COALTER: No, Your Honor.
24
25
             THE COURT:
                         Mr. Ramaswamy?
```

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014

```
1
              MR. RAMASWAMY:
                              No, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         All right. We'll be adjourned.
 3
              (At 2:43 p.m., proceedings concluded.)
 4
 5
                         CERTIFICATE
 6
        I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
        from the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 7
 8
                            Joseph B. Armstrong, RMR,
 9
        Date: 06/24/2015
                            United States Court Reporter
10
                            324 W. Market Street
                            Greensboro, NC 27401
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

US v. Hill - Status Conference - October 15, 2014